

*[No Minutes Were Generated on Monday, September 4, 2000,  
Due to the Observance of Labor Day Holiday.]*

1576

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S019798      People, Respondent

v.

Christopher Clark Box, Appellant

The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including November 30, 2000, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S070271      People, Respondent

2nd Dist.

v.

B110417      Jimmy Dale Lawrence, Appellant

Div. 7

The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including November 27, 2000, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

4th Dist.      People, Respondent

D031986

v.

Div. 1      Charles Anthony Oldham, Appellant

S089850

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including October 8, 2000, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

5th Dist.      People, Respondent

F030137

v.

S089852      Dino Bailey, Appellant

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including October 8, 2000, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

S004703 People, Respondent  
S004507 v.  
Michael Anthony Cox, Appellant

-----  
In re Michael Anthony Cox on Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's reply to petitioner's brief on the merits and exceptions to the report of the referee is extended to and including September 25, 2000.

S024645 People, Respondent  
v.  
Omar Dent, III, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including October 31, 2000.

S058537 People, Respondent  
v.  
Scott Forrest Collins, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including November 6, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S084903 In re Mark Alan Bradford  
on  
Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 29, 2000.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S086569      In re Marlin Jones  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file an informal response is extended to and including October 3, 2000.

S087880      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
                 Robert Louis Martin, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including October 4, 2000.

S088387      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
                 Donte Osbon Smith et al., Appellants

On application of appellant Donte Osbon Smith and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including September 8, 2000

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S090040      In re Willie D. Johnson  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 29, 2000.

---            Inquiry Concerning Judge Patrick Couwenberg, No. 158

Having been notified that Hon. Jay M. Bloom, Superior Court of San Diego County, has withdrawn as a special master in the above proceedings, the following judge, selected by the commission from a list submitted by the Supreme Court, is hereby appointed special master to replace Judge Bloom to hear and take evidence in such

matter and report thereon to the commission with previously appointed special masters. (See rule 121, Rules of Com. on Jud. Performance.)

Honorable Thomas P. Hansen  
Superior Court of Santa Clara County

Dated: September 5, 2000

Ronald M. George  
Chief Justice of California and  
Chairperson of the Judicial Council

S091109 Byron Lamar Crews, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent  
People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

S091156 Kennard Davis, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent  
People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

2nd Dist. Transfer Orders

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division Six:

B127385 – Ann D. Freedberg v. Elliott

B136414 – Jerry Ervin v. Northrop Grummen Corp.

## 2nd Dist.      Transfer Orders

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Three to Division Six:

B129735 – The People v. Robert Garfel  
B130049 – The People v. Gustavo Cabanillas  
B130820 – The People v. Fatima Esfandiary  
B134066 – The People v. Nathan McKinney  
B135274 – The People v. David Hinestroza  
B135754 – The People v. Gary Crosby  
B137153 – The People v. Charles Lewis Braggs

## 2nd Dist.      Transfer Orders

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Seven to Division Six:

B132749 – H.S. Hubschrauberservice v. California Airmotive Group, Inc.  
B136510 – The People v. Donnie Lemar McNeal  
B136725 – The People v. Radeoz Alaverdov

2nd Dist.      Edward Quesada  
B133829      v.  
Div. 2      James Romo

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Five to Division Six.

## 2nd Dist.      Transfer Orders

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Four to Division Six:

B134084 – The People v. Ben Abdallah  
B134133 – The People v. Vondrea Williams  
B135637 – The People v. Roger Guyton  
B136237 – The People v. Tavis Jerruad Martin

2nd Dist. Specialty Risk Services

B142732

v.

Workers' Compensation Appeals Board

Kerry L. Sides

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

Bar

Misc.

4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S089398

In re **John G. Monkman, Jr.**, on Discipline

It is ordered that **John G. Monkman, Jr., State Bar No. 51174**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution and two months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on May 22, 2000. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal amounts for membership years 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004.

S089469

In re **Robert Franklin Dodenbier** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Robert Franklin Dodenbier, State Bar No. 113017**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089471 In re **James Earl Defrantz** on Discipline

It is ordered that **James Earl Defrantz, State Bar No. 165780**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he makes and provides proof of the specified restitution as described below and until he makes the showing required by Standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he makes restitution to Mary Starkey (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$250.00, plus 10% interest per annum from October 28, 1997; to Tina Kennedy (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$200.00, plus 10% interest per annum from November 3, 1997; to Medi-Rehab (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$1,601.00 plus 10% interest per annum from November 7, 1996; to Medi-Rehab (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$170.00; to Kerry Kersey (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of \$7,000.00, plus 10% interest per annum from August 23, 1996; to the clerk of the Alameda County Superior Court (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$9,500.00, plus 10% interest per annum from December 27, 1999, or whatever reduced amount, if any, the Alameda County Superior Court has agreed to accept as complete payment, and furnishes satisfactory proof of such restitution to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii). He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on May 8, 2000. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089472 In re **Kelechi Charles Emeziem** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Kelechi Charles Emeziem, State Bar No. 159652**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on May 12, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S089476 In re **John D. Musick, Jr.** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John D. Musick, Jr., State Bar No. 157605**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 6 months and until he submits satisfactory proof of completion of a certified domestic violence treatment program to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. If Respondent has voluntarily maintained his inactive status a member of the State Bar until the effective date of this order, the period of actual suspension ordered herein shall commence January 1, 2000. Otherwise, actual suspension shall commence as of the effective date of this order. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed April 21, 2000, as modified by its order filed May 8, 2000. If the period of actual suspension exceeds two years, he shall remain actually suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension or within one year after the effective date of this order, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If Respondent is actually suspended and the suspension exceeds 90 days, it is also ordered that he comply with



rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089510 In re **Steven George Hoover** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Steven George Hoover, State Bar No. 57345**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 11, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S089515 In re **Thomas Edward White** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Thomas Edward White, State Bar No. 41181**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089516 In re **Charlotte A. Hassett** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Charlotte A. Hassett, State Bar No. 140285**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on May 19, 2000. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v.*

*State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2001, 2002 and 2003.

S089520 In re **Geoffrey Philip Wong** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Geoffrey Philip Wong, State Bar No. 42586**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 45 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed May 2, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S090048 In re **John Joseph House** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Joseph House, State Bar No. 132332**, be placed on probation for 60 months with conditions including that he be actually suspended for 60 months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until he complies with the medical conditions as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 8, 2000. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S090065      In re **Bruce Jay Friedman** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Bruce Jay Friedman, State Bar No. 57053**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)